# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

Case Number:\_\_\_-CIV-\_\_

RAYMOND T. MAHLBERG,	
Plaintiff,	
v.	
J.CREW GROUP, INC,	
Defendant.	

#### **COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUCTIVE RELIEF**

COMES NOW, Plaintiff Raymond T. Mahlberg ("Plaintiff "or "Mahlberg"), by and through undersigned counsel, files this Complaint for Permanent Injunctive Relief pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§s 12181-12189 ("ADA"), 28 U.S.C. §2201 and 2202 as well as 28 CFR Part 36 Regulations. Plaintiff state as follows:

# **INTRODUCTION AND NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this case based on federal question Jurisdiction, as provided in 28 U.S.C. §1331 and the provisions of the ADA. Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202.
- 2. Plaintiff is a visually-impaired and legally blind person (disabled) who requires assistance through screen-reading software to read website content using his computer. Plaintiff uses the term "blind" or "visually impaired" as legally blind. Disable as defined by ADA and Amendment acts of 2008, 42 USC §12101 (ADAAA).

- 3. Defendant's website https://www.jcrew.com/ (the "Website") are not fully or equally accessible to blind or visually impaired consumers in violation of the "ADA." As a result, Plaintiff seeks a permanent injunction to cause a change in J.CREW GROUP, INC ("Defendant" or "J.Crew") policies, practices and procedures so that Defendant's Website will become, and remain, accessible to blind. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs, including, but not limited to, court costs and expert fees, pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§s 12181-12189 ("ADA") and 42 U.S.C. 2000a-3(a). See also 28 U.S.C. 2201 and 2202 as well as ADA 28 CFR Part 36 Regulations.
- 4. Plaintiff is unaware of the true names, identities, and capacities of all responsible parties (defendants) being sued. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint to allege the true names and capacities if and when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings alleged herein and that Defendant sued here proximately caused injuries and damages to Plaintiff as set forth below.
- 5. Plaintiff is sui juris, and he is disabled as defined by the ADA and ADA Amendments Act of 2008, 42 U.S.C. §12101 ("ADAAA"). Defendant's failure to design, build, maintain, and operate its Website to be fully and equally accessible to and independently operational by Plaintiff, constitutes in Defendant's denial of full and equal access to physical stores and Website, resulting in a violation of Plaintiff's rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA").
- 6. Defendant's Website is a point of sale for the Defendant physical store. The Defendant's e-commerce platform (https://www.jcrew.com/ "Website") allows purchase of the same merchandise online or at the brick-mortar store.

- 7. The Defendant owns and operates the Website for desktop and mobile application as an e-commerce point-of-sale and several clothing and shoes stores that are located in Florida.
- 8. Because Defendant is a store open to the public, each of Defendant's physical stores is a place of public accommodation subject to the requirements of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12182, §12181(7)(E), and its implementing regulations, 28 C.F.R. Part 36.
- 9. Plaintiff Raymond T. Mahlberg, a blind veteran of the U.S. military, residing in Orlando, Florida brings this action under the Americans with Disabilities Act in Federal Court.
- 10. Blind and visually impaired citizens must use screen reading software<sup>1</sup> or other assistive technologies in order to access Website content.
- 11. Plaintiff cannot use his computer and mobile device browser without the assistance of appropriate and available screen reader software to understand website.
- 12. Defendant's Website is built in digital code that impedes screen-reader software to work. A few lines of code could make the Defendant's Website compatible with the popular blind's screen-readers software and grant access to Defendant's store to all blind individuals, including Plaintiff.
- 13. Screen reader software translates the visual internet into an auditory equivalent. which vocalizes visual information found on the computer screen. With this software, Plaintiff has successfully used more than 400 websites.
- 14. The software reads the content of a webpage to the user. The screen reading software uses auditory cues to allow a visually impaired user to effectively navigate the websites.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "screen reader" is a software application that enables people with severe visual impairments to use a computer. Screen readers work closely with the computer's Operating System (OS) to verbalize information about icons, menus, dialogue boxes, files and folders.

15. Plaintiff visited the Website when at home, or on the go or in transit when visiting other cities. The purpose of the Website is to turn visitors into prospects. One of the functions of the Defendant's Website is to provide the public information on the locations of Defendant's stores through a "Find a Store" feature. Defendant also sells to the public its merchandise through the Website, which acts as a point of sale for Defendant's merchandise available in, from, and through Defendant's physical stores and https://www.jcrew.com/.

16. This case arises out of the fact that Defendant has operated its business in a manner and way that effectively excluding Plaintiff who are visually impaired from access to Defendant's physical stores and online store (the Website). Because the Website, an e-commerce online platform, carries the same products in the physical store and connects individuals to make a purchase on the Website 24/7, the same way they would in the physical store (during limited hours 10am to 8pm). The Website has a nexus to, and is an extension of and gateway to the Defendant's physical stores.

17. Because the public can view and purchase Defendant's goods through the Website that are also offered for sale in Defendant's physical stores, thus having the Website act as a point of sale for Defendant's products that are also sold in the physical stores, arrange instore and curbside pickups of merchandise purchased online benefits for use online and in the physical stores, the Website is an extension of and gateway to the physical stores, which are places of public accommodation pursuant to the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §12181(7)(E).

18. Even if the Website is not itself a place of public accommodation the Defendant's physical stores are a place of public accommodation under 42 U.S.C. §12181(7)(E), and must comply with all requirements of the ADA. The Website is an extension and a necessary service, privilege, and advantage of the Defendant's physical stores. Defendant must not

discriminate against individuals with visual disabilities and must not deny those individuals the same full and equal access to and enjoyment of the goods, services, privileges, and advantages afforded the non-visually disabled public both online and in the physical stores.

- 19. As a result of Plaintiff being legally blind, before he embarks on any venture from his home, Plaintiff studies the location where Plaintiff is seeking to patronize through using the internet. Plaintiff has made innumerous attempts to access Defendant's physical store and Website in the past, but Plaintiff could not locate the nearest store thru the Website neither preshop the Defendant's merchandise because the Website is not readable by the screen-reader.
- 20. Plaintiff intends to continue to access the Defendant's physical store and Website to a purchase men clothing. Plaintiff was informed that there is one of the largest outlet of Defendant's physical store at 19501 Biscayne Blvd Space 810 Aventura, FL 33180.
- 21. Plaintiff is and has been a customer who is interested in patronizing and intends to patronize in the near future Defendant's physical stores once the Website(s)'s access barriers are removed or remedied. The barriers Plaintiff encountered on Defendant's Website has impeded Plaintiff's full and equal enjoyment of goods and services offered at Defendant's brick-and mortar stores.
- 22. Before Plaintiff visited Defendant's physical store, Plaintiff needs to visit the store online, because the opportunity to shop and pre-shop Defendant's products from Plaintiff's home are important accommodations for Plaintiff; because as a visually disabled individual Plaintiff plans his outings in advance.
- 23. Plaintiff is impeded to access and communicate with Defendant's store and Website effectively; unable to take advantage and use one of the Defendant's Website to pre-shop and purchase Defendant's merchandise, to arrange in-store and curbside pickups of merchandise

purchased online, and sign up for an electronic emailer to receive exclusive online offers, benefits, invitations, and discounts for use both online and in the physical stores.

- 24. The privilege to navigate the Website(s) from Plaintiff's home or on-the-go on his phone is important and necessary accommodations for Plaintiff because traveling outside of his home as a visually disabled individual is an often challenging and fearsome experience. Defendant's failure to provide auxiliary aids and services for effective communications is an act of discrimination against Plaintiff. *Title III ADA 28 C.F.R. § 36.303*.
- 25. However, unless Defendant is required to eliminate the access barriers at issue and required to change its policies so that access barriers do not reoccur on Defendant's Website(s), Plaintiff will continue to be denied full access to J.Crew's physical stores and the Website.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 26. This Court has jurisdiction over this case based on federal question jurisdiction, 28 U.S.C. §1331, and the provisions of the ADA. Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 and 2202.
- 27. Defendant has several stores in the State of Florida. Defendant conducts and continues to conduct a substantial and significant amount of business in this District.
- 28. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391, in the Southern District of Florida where defendant has retail stores and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims occurred. Personal jurisdiction exists when the Defendant purposefully availed itself of the conducting activities within the forum State.
  - 29. Plaintiff's claims asserted herein arose in this judicial district.
- 30. Plaintiff is a resident of Orlando, FL 32817. is *sui juris*, and is disabled as defined by the ADA and ADA Amendments Act of 2008, 42 U.S.C. §12101 ("ADAAA").

- 31. This is an action injunctive relief pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§12181-12189 ("ADA"), 28 C.F.R. § 36.201 and to prevent discrimination which includes equal access and effective communications with Defendant's business. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343.
- 32. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this District. Defendant has been and is committing the acts or omissions alleged herein in the Southern District of Florida that caused injury, and violated rights prescribed by the ADA to Plaintiff. A substantial part of the acts and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in the Southern District of Florida. Specifically, on several separate occasions, Plaintiff has been denied the full use and enjoyment of the facilities, goods, and services of Defendant's Website in Florida. The access barriers Plaintiff encountered on Defendant's Website has caused a denial of Plaintiff's full and equal access multiple times in the past, and now deter Plaintiff on a regular basis from accessing Defendant's Website. Defendant J.CREW GROUP, INC is authorized to conduct, and is conducting, business within the State of Florida and within the jurisdiction of this court.

### **THE PARTIES**

33. Plaintiff Mahlberg, is a resident of the State of Florida. Plaintiff resides in Orlando. Mahlberg is legally blind, and a member of a protected class under the ADA. Whereby, Plaintiff has a disability within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)-(2), the regulations implementing the ADA set forth at 28 CFR §§ 36.101 *et seq.* and in 42 U.S.C. 3602, §802(h). Plaintiff suffered an assault to the back of his head in 2004 which caused damage and atrophy of the optic nerve, and as a consequence, is legally blind. Plaintiff is a legally blind individual who has a physical impairment that substantially limits the major life activity of seeing. Accordingly, Mahlberg has a disability within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 12102 and 28 C.F.R. § 35.104.

Plaintiff is a qualified individual with a disability within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 12131(2), 29 U.S. Code § 794 and 28 C.F.R. § 35.104.

- 34. Plaintiff cannot use the computer without the assistance of a screen reader software. Mahlberg is a proficient user of the JAWS screen-reader to access the internet." JAWS, or "Job Access With Speech," uses keyboards keys to navigate the website by reading loud the website. For screen-reading software to work, the information on a website must be capable of being rendered into text-description. A description of the image, icons and navigate in an organized order.
- 35. Defendant is a foreign for profit limited liability company. Defendant is the owner and operator of a fashion clothing and shoes stores under the brand named J.Crew. Defendant produces and distributes J.Crew clothing and shoes to its shops and distributors in Florida.
- 36. Upon information and belief, at all times material hereto, Defendant owns, operates, and/or manages the day-to-day affairs of J.Crew's stores which are operating within the State of Florida. Defendant's stores are public accommodations pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7)(E).
- 37. Plaintiff believes, and thereon alleges, that defendant J.CREW GROUP, INC and affiliates and/or related entities, actively engaged in the sale of apparel in various states throughout the country, including Florida.
- 38. Said Defendant entities will hereinafter collectively be referred to as J.Crew or, where appropriate, "Defendant."

# **FACTS**

- 39. Defendant is defined as a "Place of Public Accommodation" within meaning of Title III because Defendant is a private entity which owns and/or operates "[A] bakery, grocery store, clothing store, shopping center, or other sales establishment," 42 U.S.C. §12181(7)(E) and 28 C.F.R. §36.104(5).
- 40. Each of Defendant J.Crew's stores are open to the public and each is a Place of Public Accommodation subject to the requirements of Title III of the ADA and its implementing regulation as "... other sales establishment," as defined by 42 U.S.C. §12181(7)(E); §12182, and 28 C.F.R. Part 36.
  - 41. J.Crew offers fashion clothing and shoes for sale to the general public.
- 42. Defendant has control over several points of sale including the Website, its content, design and source-code, and/or operates his web pages, including image and data content. Defendant owns and operates the domain name <a href="https://www.jcrew.com/">https://www.jcrew.com/</a> that is configured for use by desktop computer and mobile devices such as smartphones.
- 43. One of the functions of Defendant's Website (available to browse in mobile or computer version) is to provide the public information on the various locations of Defendant's stores that sell fashion clothing and shoes. The other function of the Website is to sell Defendant's products.
- 44. Defendant's Website is offered by Defendant as a way for the public to communicate with J.Crew's clothing and shoes products. Defendant's Website also permits the public to register and create an account that allows the general public to order and purchase clothing e shoes, provides: customer service and locate a shop.
- 45. Blind Plaintiff needs to plan his outgoings since at the physical store it is difficult to know the prices, price tags are not in Braille. Like innumerous customers, Plaintiff

rather to accesses Defendant's Website since online purchase is faster or at least pre-purchase before Plaintiff goes to the store. Plaintiff has a concrete plan of visiting the store because Plaintiff admires the quality of Defendant's products and would like to buy it in a regular basis.

- 46. Plaintiff is a customer of J.Crew's stores since his intent to continue visiting a J.Crew's clothing and shoes store near his area. Plaintiff visited with friends a J.Crew in another state, but Plaintiff wants to independently shop at J.Crew. The Plaintiff, through his inquiries learned that there are a few J.Crew's shops in his area.
- 47. During the month of June 2024, on several occasions, Plaintiff was denied access to Defendant's Website, because the Website is incompatible to screen-reader software. Plaintiff explains that the Website contains the following access barriers that prevent him from free and full use of screen reader software. Plaintiff encountered these barriers that are persistent and include, Plaintiff reports that:
- a. The images on the product page are not meaningful description, the audio announced makes no sense, it announces an html link with numbers. Check the issue on https://youtu.be/2Uanq7kxDSM.
- b. On the product page, when an item is "Added to Bag" it is not announced and Plaintiff does not know if it was added and how to checkout. Also, the price and discount are skipped and not announced. Components do not receive focus in an order that maintains meaning and operability. Product image has no "alt" missing meaningful description. Check the issue on https://youtu.be/zvbuluDObqA
- c. Images have no meaningful description, it repeats the same information the Website announces information that does not make any sense ("figure, figure"), instead of announcing a description of the images. WCAG 2.1 AH71. Check the issue at https://youtu.be/zvbuluDObqA

- d. On the "Find a Store" page the address is inaccessible and unavailable for screen-reader user. The keyboard keys do not work to navigate. The Website skips the store address when user uses keyboard-keys to navigate. Plaintiff was not able to find the Defendant's address. Components do not receive focus in an order that maintains meaning and operability. Check the issue at https://youtu.be/9ixsEkIdC2s.
- e. On the page "Store Details" <a href="https://stores.jcrew.com/us/fl/coral-gables/350-san-lorenzo-avenue">https://stores.jcrew.com/us/fl/coral-gables/350-san-lorenzo-avenue</a> the address is once again unavailable, even though it shows on the screen but it is not announced. Check the issue on <a href="https://youtu.be/mHJr5rQ\_C\_I">https://youtu.be/mHJr5rQ\_C\_I</a>
- f. The background, text and products have poor contrast. Also, the Website does not provide a zoom feature.
- 48. Plaintiff encountered the following barrier when Plaintiff was unable and impeded to access and unable to learn (1) Defendant's store locations and hours; (2) the cost of Defendant's products; (3) locate the nearest store to Plaintiff on the go so Plaintiff can go to the store to pick up his purchase; and (5) check the price of the clothing and shoes. (6) create an account and (7) be able to "add to cart" and checkout. Thus, Defendant's Website creates an intangible barrier that prevents Mahlberg from "accessing a privilege" of a physical place of public accommodation, where Plaintiff cannot locate the defendant's store, pre-purchase or locate Defendant's store.
- 49. During Plaintiff's several visits to the Website using JAWS most popular screen-reader for computer and smartphone browsing, occurring on June 8, 2024 and the last on July 3, 2024, attempted to visit the physical store by checking the Website first, however the plaintiff encountered multiple access barriers that denied the plaintiff full and equal access to the

facilities, goods and services offered to the public and made available to the public; and that denied the plaintiff the full enjoyment of the Defendant's facilities, goods, and services.

#### **AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT**

- 50. The failure to access the information needed precluded Plaintiff's ability to patronize J.Crew's stores because, as a blind individual, Plaintiff needs to plan his outings out in detail in order to have the proper financing for a venture, and ensure that Plaintiff arrives at a given location.
- 51. Under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181–12189 ("Title III"), which addresses "Public Accommodations and Services Operated by Private Entities." Title III provides that "[n]o individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in any place of public accommodation." 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
- 52. Title III provides that "no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability" in "any Place of Public Accommodation." 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a). As defined in Title III, the term "public accommodation" includes a "store and sales establishment" Id. § 12181(7)(E). Defendant owns and operates stores, J.Crew.
- 53. Binding Court acknowledged that Title III's public accommodation protection "covers both tangible and *intangible barriers*, such as screening rules or discriminatory procedures that restrict a disabled person's ability to enjoy the defendant entity's services and privileges.
- 54. On March 18, 2022, The Department of Justice issued Web Accessibility Guidance under the Americans with Disability Act. It explains how businesses open to the public (entities covered by ADA Title III) can make sure their website is accessible to people with disabilities in line with the ADA's requirements. (Exhibit A). The guidance discusses the importance of web accessibility, barriers that inaccessible websites create for people with

disabilities, tips on making web content accessible and applied the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines ("WCAG") 2.1 to determine accessibility. See https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-issues-web-accessibility-guidance-under-americans-disabilities-act.

- 55. Title III ADA Part 36 regulation was amended to integrate section 36.303 Auxiliary Aids and Services "A public accommodation shall furnish appropriate auxiliary aids and services where necessary to ensure effective communication with individuals with disabilities."
- 56. The access barriers Plaintiff encountered on Defendant's Website has caused a denial of Plaintiff's full and equal access multiple times in the past, and now deter Plaintiff on a regular basis from accessing Defendant's Website.
- 57. Plaintiff would like to become Defendant's patron and access the Defendant's physical store and the Defendant's Website in the near future but the barriers Plaintiff encountered on Defendant's Website has impeded Plaintiff's full and equal enjoyment of goods and services offered at Defendant's brick-and mortar stores.
- 58. The fact that Plaintiff could not access the Defendant's Website and could not comprehend the Website pages contained therein, left Plaintiff excluded from accessing J.Crew's stores, goods and services available from Defendant and further affects Plaintiff with the sense of segregation, rejection, isolation, humiliation, frustration and unable to participate in a manner equal to that afforded to others who are not similarly disabled. As a result, Plaintiff has suffered in a personal and individual way a particularized harm and an injury in fact.
- 59. Plaintiff cannot make proper arrangements for transportation of himself to the J.Crew's store locations without the ability to know in advance the J.Crew's goods and services which service is available online through Defendant's Website. Plaintiff also faces a great degree

of uncertainty of how to physically travel to Defendant J.Crew's store location. Plaintiff is effectively denied the ability to physically travel to Defendant J.Crew's store.

- 60. Plaintiff has a concrete plan to purchase J.Crew's clothing and shoes when Plaintiff is treated like other members of the public. By denying Plaintiff the opportunity to comprehend J.Crew's Website therein due to Plaintiff's disability (visual impairment), Defendant has denied Plaintiff the opportunity to participate in or benefit from Defendant's goods and services as afforded to the public.
- 61. Plaintiff will suffer continuous and ongoing harm from Defendant's omissions, policies, and practices set forth herein unless enjoined by this Court.
- 62. On information and belief, Defendant has not offered any form of Website in an accessible format for blind or visually impaired individuals.
- 63. Thus, Defendant has not provided full and equal enjoyment of the services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations provided at its stores and authorized retailers.
- 64. All Public Accommodations must ensure that their *Places of Public Accommodation* provide *Effective Communication* for all members of the general public, including individuals with disabilities pursuant *Title III ADA 28 C.F.R. § 36.303*.
- 65. Binding case law increasingly recognize that private entities are providing goods and services to the public through their online point of sale which operates as "Places of Public Accommodation" under Title III.
- 66. A person who cannot see, like the Plaintiff in this case, cannot go to Defendant's Website and avail themselves of the same privileges. Thus, the Plaintiff has suffered discrimination due to Defendant's failure to provide a reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff's disability.

- 67. Plaintiff has no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs alleged hereinabove and this suit for injunctive relief is his only means to secure adequate redress from Defendant's unlawful and discriminatory practices.
- 68. Notice to Defendant is not required as a result of Defendant's failure to cure the violations. Enforcement of the rights of Plaintiff is right and just pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202.
- 69. Enforcement of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA is right and just pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and Title III ADA Subpart E Section 36.501.
- 70. Plaintiff has retained the law office of Acacia Barros, Esq. and has agreed to pay a reasonable fee for services in the prosecution of this cause, including costs and expenses incurred. Plaintiff is entitled to have reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses paid by Defendant J.Crew.

#### COUNT I – VIOLATIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

- 71. Part 36 of Title 28 of the C.F.R. was designed and is implemented to effectuate subtitle of Title III of the ADA, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability by Public Accommodations and requires Places of Public Accommodation to be designed, constructed, and altered in compliance with the accessibility standards established by Part 36 Regulation.
- 72. Defendant's Website has not been designed to interface with the widely and readily available technologies for blinds that can be used to ensure effective communication.
- 73. As delineated above and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12181(7)(E), Defendant is a *Public Accommodation* under the ADA because it owns and/or operates the Website which is defined within §12181(7)(E), and is subject to the ADA.

- 74. No notice is required because under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(II), it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities or a class of individuals with disabilities an opportunity to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodation, which is equal to the opportunities afforded to other individuals.
- 75. Unlawful discrimination includes "a failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations." 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(II).
- 76. Unlawful discrimination also includes "a failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden." 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(III).
- 77. Defendant is in violation of the ADA by creating barriers for individuals with disabilities who are visually impaired and who require the assistance of interface with screen reader software to comprehend and access its Website. These violations are ongoing.
- 78. As a result of the inadequate development and administration of Defendant's Website, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12133 and §12188, also 28 C.F.R. §36.501 to remedy the discrimination.

- 79. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12188, this Court is vested with the authority to grant Plaintiff Mahlberg injunctive relief; including an order to:
- a) Require Defendant take the necessary steps to make all Defendant's Website readily accessible to and usable by visually impaired users, and during that time period prior to the Website being readily accessible, to provide a temporary alternative method for individuals with visual impairments to access the information available on the Website until such time that the requisite modifications are made. Title III American with Disabilities Act Part 36 Regulation.
- b) Require Defendant to provide periodic maintenance of the accessible website thru the appropriate auxiliary aids such that individuals with visual impairments will be able to always receive communication effectively with the Website for purposes of viewing and locating J.Crew's stores and becoming informed of the deals, gift cards and of viewing electronic documents provided to the public within Defendant's Website.
- c) During the time period prior to the Website's being designed to permit individuals with visual impairments to effectively communicate, requiring Defendant to provide an alternative method for individuals with visual impairments to effectively communicate so they are not impeded from obtaining the goods and services made available to the public. Title III ADA Part 36 Regulation.
  - 80. For all of the foregoing, the Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

#### **DEMAND FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff RAYMOND T. MAHLBERG hereby demands judgment against Defendant "J.Crew Group, INC," and requests the following injunctive relief permanently enjoin Defendant from any practice, policy and/or procedure which will deny Plaintiff equal access to, and benefit from Defendant's services and goods, as well as the Court:

a. That the Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that the Defendant's

Website at the commencement of the subject lawsuit is in violation of Title III of the Americans

with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq.;

b. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to continually update and

maintain their computer version of the defendant's Website to ensure that it remains fully

accessible to and usable by visually impaired individuals;

c. That the Court issue an Injunctive relief order directing Defendant to alter their

Website to make it accessible to, and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the full extent

required by Title III of the ADA;

d. That the Court enter an Order directing Defendant to evaluate and neutralize their

policies and procedures towards persons with disabilities for such reasonable time so as to allow

Defendant to undertake and complete corrective procedures;

e. Further requests the Court to retain jurisdiction for a period to be determined to

ensure that Defendant has adopted and is following an institutional policy that will in fact cause

Defendant to remain fully in compliance with the law;

f. That the Court enter an award of attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses

pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12205; and Title III of the ADA Section 36.505.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated this 5th day of July 2024.

/s/Acacia Barros

Attorney for Plaintiff

ACACIA BARROS, P.A.

Acacia Barros, Esq.

FBN: 106277

11120 N. Kendall Dr., Suite 201

Miami, Florida 33176

Tel: 305-639-8381

ab@barroslawfirm.com

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 5th day of July 2024 that the foregoing document has been filed using CM/ECF system and will be served via email when Defendant's counsel enters an appearance.